

The Conference toward Al Network Society 2020 Report (Outline)

Institute for Information and Communications Policy (IICP), MIC

1

The Conference toward AI Network Society issued

- the Draft AI R&D Guidelines for International Discussions (2017)[1], which summarized items that AI developers are expected to take into consideration, and
- the AI Utilization Guidelines (2019)[2], which summarized items that AI users are expected to take into consideration.

These are compiled as non-regulatory and non-binding soft law and are consistent with international discussions such as those at the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).

For promoting the development and utilization of AI and the excellent progress of AI networking, it is essential to eliminate the anxiety of people about AI and promote efforts to build trust. The Conference is continuously studying the safe, secure, and trustworthy social implementation of AI. (A report including the study was issued in July 2020).



Safety net \rightarrow What kind of insurance system can be considered?

* "AI principles" are a generic term, which includes AI Principles, AI Guidelines and AI Commitment, etc.

[1] https://www.soumu.go.jp/main_content/000507517.pdf [2] https://www.soumu.go.jp/main_content/000658284.pdf

Opinions were exchanged with businesses and experts who are enthusiastic about AI based on the issues listed on the previous page regarding the "safe, secure, and trustworthy social implementation of AI". The summary of the report is as follows:

Efforts by developers and AI service providers

- •The establishment of the AI principles by companies indicates their basic policy for the AI development. For their corporate stakeholders, it will eliminate concerns about AI development and will build their confidence in AI development efforts.
- In applying the AI principles to actual AI development and utilization, it is essential to formulate a checklist based on the AI principles from the perspective of ensuring the objectivity, uniformity, and verifiability of AI development/utilization judgment methods.
- Governance is required to ensure the implementation of AI principles. For self-inspection/self-assessment for a
 governance system, devised efforts can be seen, such as the establishment of an internal committee composed of
 various external human resources.
- It is necessary to formulate a collection of AI utilization best practices that introduce the usefulness of AI utilization in an easy-to-understand manner.

End-users' initiatives

Business users

- It will be useful for companies to make decisions on AI utilization in the future by organizing and accumulating ideas about the principles in concrete cases.
- It is necessary to work on the formulation of AI utilization best practices that will serve as a reference for efforts to utilize AI.
- •Laws, ethics, and stakeholders related to AI business are widely diversified, and there is a high possibility that risks will occur if we proceed in the same way as conventional businesses. A future task is to establish a governance system to discuss legal and ethical issues with stakeholders.

2

Consumer users

- In the future, it will be necessary for consumers to use AI with peace of mind and to enjoy its benefits.
- It is one of the essential efforts to realize a human-centered AI society where older people and people with disabilities as consumer users can utilize AI to eliminate the inconvenience associated with aging or disability so that everyone can achieve self-fulfillment equally.
- •Although the use of products, such as smart speakers, is an individual choice, it is necessary to have them aware of how to use them.

Initiatives for security-related risks

- •It is necessary to consider technical measures as part of environment development for safe, secure, and trustworthy AI. For example, there are various efforts, such as quality maintenance, improvements in explainability, authentication, and security maintenance.
- •There are four types of the relationship between AI and security: (a) Attack using AI, (b) Attack by AI, (c) Attack to AI, and (d) Measure using AI. It is necessary to consider each viewpoint.
- •There are problems that cannot be solved by technical aspects alone, it is important to continue holding interdisciplinary discussions, not only with security engineers but also with psychology and sociology experts, etc.

Initiatives for insurance

- •With consideration of the characteristics of AI, it is crucial to have an insurance system to compensate for damages related to its development and utilization as a safety net initiative to promote the safe, secure, and trustworthy social implementation of AI.
- It is essential to develop various insurance products as initiatives to establish a safety net for utilizing AI to compensate for damages with consideration of the risk characteristics of AI.
- It is crucial to promote the spread of AI by ensuring the quality of AI.

Perspective of Ecosystem Formed with Progress of Al Network

4

For promoting the "safe, secure, and trustworthy social implementation of AI", it is beneficial to analyze and present a scenario of the future social image of the AI social implementation to share a concrete image.

The Conference toward AI Network Society tried sharing a concrete image to analyze and present the future image of AI utilization from the perspective of the near future (around 2025) and the medium-term future (around 2035) based on the progress of AI utilization.

1) Focusing on the AI utilization and looking at the scene of the AI utilization in terms of both consumers and businesses. In the perspective of AI utilization, the utilization scenes are classified as follows:



<Classification of AI utilization scenes>

2) With consideration of the above (1) scene of utilization, some case studies on the social implementation of AI were conducted. Then the benefits and issues of the AI utilization were summarized.

Case: Transfer (fully self-driving) Case: Health (medical care/nursing) Case: Finance

Case: Crisis management (crime prevention, public Case: Energy infrastructure, and disaster prevention) Case: Manufacturing Case: Residential



A

What has already been put to practical use/ Things that are likely to be realized shortly (by around 2025)



(Note)Some examples of expected utilization are described. Examples are described with a view to the possibility of utilization without assuming the current system.



AUTI

What has already been put to practical use/ Things that are likely to be realized shortly (by around 2025)



Medium term (up to around 2035) (Note)Some examples of expected utilization are described. Examples are described with a view to the possibility of utilization without assuming the current system.

Expected benefits (example)

- By making a DNA and lifelog analysis with AI, it will be possible to give detailed advice for improving the health according to the individual's health condition.
- An AI chip embedded in the body collects information on the body and analyzes it, enabling early detection of abnormalities and diseases.
- Image analysis using AI will lead to the early detection of illness and improvements in the prevention of oversight. It will also contribute to reducing the burden on doctors and solving problems, such as doctor shortage and uneven distribution.
- By expanding the physical capabilities of wearable robots (wearable robots and robot suits), older people with physical disabilities and care recipients can live independently.

Expected issues (example)

	Before realization	After realization
Society	 Considering the accuracy of AI diagnosis and people's trust in AI, if the diagnosis is left only to AI judgment, it may not be possible to obtain the patient's understanding. 	 Older people, their families, care workers, etc. may not be able to understand the proper usage of wearable robots fully.
Economy	 In the medical field, a high degree of medical knowledge is required to create training data, which may impose an excessive burden on doctors. 	 With the spread of wearable robots and AI chips embedded in the body, more learning data will be collected, which may create an oligopoly market.
Technology	 If the standardization of medical information/healthcare information system/data format does not make progress, the distribution of data, such as medical information, may be hindered, and AI training based on large-scale data may not progress. 	 There is a possibility that the AI system will become a black box, and medical doctors will not recognize or understand the risks of medical treatment using the AI system. Then no appropriate and sufficient informed consent will be provided to patients and families.
Law	 When handling personal information at prefecture/municipal hospitals, the Personal Information Protection Ordinance will be applied instead of the Personal Information Protection Act, which applies to the private sector. In the Ordinance, there are cases where there is a provision that prohibits information linking with external systems as a rule (prohibition of online linking), and there is a possibility that the cooperation and learning of data via the system will not proceed. 	 Regarding services that utilize information, such as life logs, there are cases where different individuals re-learn the information based on information input by smartphone. In that case, there may be an error in some personal data input, and a trained model that gives incorrect advice may be constructed. At that time, the legal demarcation point/responsibility ratio may be unclear between individuals and service providers.

Expected benefits (example)

- Humans will not need to drive, and travel time can be effectively utilized when traveling by car.
- Older people and people with disabilities will be provided with a convenient means of transportation, which will allow them to go to the hospital or go shopping smoothly.
- People will not need to drive long-distance trucks or long-distance buses at midnight or early morning, and they will be able to review their work style and worklife balance.
- In particular, problems such as a shortage of drivers for route buses in rural areas can be improved, and the abolition and reduction of routes can be avoided.

Assumed issues (example)

	Before realization	After realization
Society	 It is unclear whether self-driving is technically secure or who is responsible for accidents if any. Therefore, there is a possibility that the service will not be accepted due to people's feelings of resistance to autonomous driving. 	 The flow of using the time devoted to commuting and attending school for other purposes will be created. As a result, there is a possibility that the places and lifestyles of individuals will change significantly.
Economy	 In the case of infrastructure-coordinated autonomous driving, infrastructure may not be developed in local governments due to tight budgets, and there may be regional disparities in the spread of autonomous driving. Employees related to delivery and transportation services may be reduced, making it difficult for them to find other jobs. Implementation of AI cannot be accepted socio-economic as a whole. 	 Automakers, which are becoming increasingly popular, can secure a lot of post-sales learning data, which may hinder the entry of newer automakers. The lack of driving by humans could significantly reduce the accidents that have previously occurred as a result of human error and would require a significant change in automobile pricing for insurance.
Technology	 AI may behave unpredictably with respect to data not belong to the training data. In addition, even with using accurately trained AI (model), we may not avoid erroneous recognition and derecognition. 	 Al may not be able to respond to changes in the world after their deployment. There is a possibility that proper operation cannot be performed because negotiations and adjustments cannot be made between cars. If the Al system is hacked, not only will the autonomous vehicle not function properly, but it may also affect other autonomous vehicles via the network one after another, resulting in accidents and traffic disruptions.
Law	• The black-boxing of AI may make it difficult to establish the legal responsibility for autonomous driving, which may make it difficult to form a consensus with automobile manufacturers and users.	 In addition to domestic legislation, coordination with other countries will be necessary, and it will not be possible to deal with the current legal system alone. Each automobile manufacturer may be forced to take new measures.