

参考文献一覧

(第1章)

- 石橋順三 (2007) 「政策評価制度～制度運用の課題と展望～」『立法と調査』No.269
- 鎌田英幸 (2008) 「政策評価の本来的機能の発揮に向けて」『季刊行政管理研究』No.124
- 国際協力機構 (2008) 『2007年度事業評価年次報告書』2008年2月
- 総務省行政評価局 (2008) 「政策評価の点検結果—評価の実効性の向上に向けて—」2008年3月
- 南島和久 (2007) 「府省における政策評価の中立性および客観性：グリッド／グループ文化理論に基づく考察」『法学志林』104巻4号
- 山谷清志 (2006) 「政策評価の実践とその課題～アカウンタビリティのディレンマ」萌書房
- ALNAP, (2005) *Assessing the Quality of Humanitarian Evaluations, The ALNAP Quality Proforma 2005* (v. 02/03/05) (<http://www.alnap.org/pdfs/QualityProforma05.pdf>)
- Cooksy, J.L. & Garacelli, V.J., (2005) “Quality, Context, and Use: Issues in Achieving the Goals of Metaevaluation”, *The American Journal of Evaluation*, No.1, Vol. 26
- Office of Management and Budget, (2008) *Program Assessment Rating Tool Guidance* No. 2008-01, January 29, 2008
(http://georgewbush-whitehouse.archives.gov/omb/part/fy2008/part_guid_2008.pdf)
- Patton M.Q., (1997) *Utilization-Focused Evaluation*, The New Century Text, 3rd edition, Sage Publications
- Robson, C., (2000) *Small-Scale Evaluation*, Sage Publications
- Rossi, Peter H., Reeman, Howard E., and Lipsey, Mark W., (1999) *Evaluation: a systematic approach*, 6th ed., Sage Publications
- Scriven, M., (1991) *The Evaluation Thesaurus*, 4th ed., Sage Publications
- _____ (2009) “Meta-Evaluation Revisited”, *Journal of Multi Disciplinary Evaluation*, No.11, Vol.6
- Stufflebeam, D., (1999) *Program Evaluation Models Metaevaluation Checklist*, Based on the Program Evaluation Standards
(http://www.wmich.edu/evalctr/checklists/eval_model_metaeval.pdf)

_____ (2001) “Meta-evaluation Imperative”, *The American Journal of Evaluation*,
No.2, Vol. 22

Stufflebeam & Shinkfield, (2007) *Evaluation Theory, Models, and Applications*, Jossey Bass

Weiss, C.H., (1998) *Evaluation: Methods for Studying Programs and Policies*, 2nd ed.,
Prentice-Hall,

(第2章)

伊藤勲 (2006) 「ワシントンD.C.調査記録 (1) ~ (6)」『行政評価月報』

金本良嗣 (1990) 「会計検査院によるプログラム評価—アメリカ GAO から何を学ぶか—」『会
計検査研究』第10号第2号 (<http://www.jbaudit.go.jp/effort/study/mag/2-2.html>)

黒田忠司 (2003) 「米国会計検査院における評価活動プロセス」『季刊行政管理研究』(2003.6,
No.102)

左近靖博 (2008) 「米国連邦政府ブッシュ政権における「予算と業績の統合 (BPI)」の結果考
察—予算編成プロセスにおける業績情報活用の成果総括—」『季刊政策・経営研究』(三
菱UFJリサーチ&コンサルティング) 2008.Vol.4

田辺智子 (2006) 「エビデンスに基づく教育—アメリカの教育改革とWhat Works Clearinghouse
の動向—」『日本評価研究』(日本評価学会) 第6巻第1号、

廣瀬淳子 (2006) 「アメリカにおける行政評価と行政監視の現状と課題GAOとCIAを巡る最近の
状況から」『レファレンス』(2006.5)

龍慶昭・佐々木亮 (2004) 『政策評価の理論と技法』(増補改訂版) 多賀出版

Bustelo, M. (2002). *Metaevaluation as a Tool for the Improvement and Development of the
Evaluation Function in Public Administrations*. Retrieved on March 9, 2009.

http://www.evaluationcanada.ca/distribution/20021010_bustelo_maria.pdf

Cooksy, L. & Caracelli, V. J. (2009). *Metaevaluation in Practice: Selection and Application
of Criteria*. Journal of MultiDisciplinary Evaluation, Volume 6, Number 11.

<http://www.jmde.com/>

Davidson, J. (2005). *Evaluation methods basics*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Donaldson, S. I., & Christie C. A. (2005) . *The 2004 Claremont Debate: Lipsey vs. Scriven*.
Journal of MultiDisciplinary Evaluation. <http://www.jmde.com/>

Institute of Education Sciences, Department of Education.(2008). *What Works*

- Clearinghouse Procedures and Standards Handbook (Version 2.0)*. MOE.
- Mathison, S. (2008). *Encyclopedia of Evaluation*. Sage Publications
- National Association of Schools of Public Affairs and Administration (NASPAA). (2008). *Commission on Peer Review and Accreditation*. NASPAA.
- Patrick, R.M. (2006). Congressional Reporting: A Management Process to Build a Legislative-Centered Public Administration. Retrieved on March, 9, 2009. <http://scholar.lib.vt.edu/theses/available/etd-04202006-104259/unrestricted/Chapter3MANAGEMENT4-19.pdf>.
- Rossi, P. H., Lipsey, M., & Freeman, H. E. (2004). *Evaluation: A systematic approach (7th ed.)*. Sage Publications.
- Sanders, J. (Ed.). (1994). *The program evaluation standards: How to assess evaluations of educational programs*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Sanders, J.R. & Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation (2008). *The Program Evaluation Standards*. Sage Publications.
- Smith, R (2005). *Peer Review Report: International Peer Review of the Performance Audit Practice of the United States Government Accounting Office*. (Submitted to The Comptroller General of the United States).
- Sherman, J. (2009). *Survey of the United States Government's arrangements for monitoring and evaluating support to security reform*. Retrieved on March 9, 2009. www.cic.nyu.edu/Lead%20Page%20PDF/USDonorSurvey.pdf
- Scriven, M. (1969). *An introduction to meta-evaluation*. Educational Product Report, 2.
- Scriven, M. (1991). *The Evaluation Thesaurus* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Scriven, M. (2005). *The logic and methodology of checklists*. Kalamazoo: The Evaluation Center, Western Michigan University.
- Scriven, M. (2006). *Key evaluation checklist*. Kalamazoo: The Evaluation Center, Western Michigan University.
- Scriven, M. (2007). *Key evaluation checklist*. Kalamazoo: The Evaluation Center, Western Michigan University.
- Scriven, M. (2009). *Meta-Evaluation Revisited*. Journal of MultiDisciplinary Evaluation, Volume 6, Number 11. <http://www.jmde.com/>

- Stufflebeam, D. (1974). *Meta-evaluation*. The evaluation center, Occasional paper series #3.
- Stufflebeam, D. (1999). *Program Evaluation Metaevaluation Checklist*. Retrieved on March 9, 2009. http://www.wmich.edu/evalctr/checklists/program_metaeval.pdf
- Stufflebeam, D. (2000). *The Methodology of Metaevaluation as Reflected in Metaevaluations by the Western Michigan University Evaluation Center*. *Journal of Personnel evaluation in education* 14:1.
- Stufflebeam, D. (2002). *CIPP Evaluation Model Checklist*. Retrieved on March 9, 2009. <http://www.wmich.edu/evalctr/checklists/cippchecklist.htm>
- U.S. Government Auditing Office (GAO) (2006). *Generally Acceptable Government Accountability Standards (GAGAS)*. GAO.
- U.S. Government Auditing Office (GAO) (1992). *Evaluation Synthesis*. Program Evaluation and Methodology Division, GAO.
- U.S. Government Auditing Office (GAO) (2004). *Results-oriented Government: GPRA Has Established a Solid Foundation for Achieving Greater Results*. GAO.
- U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) (2006). *Government Auditing Standards 2006 Revision*. GAO. <http://www.gao.gov/govaud/ybk01.htm>
- U.S. Office of Management and Budgeting (OMB) (2008). *Guide to the Program Assessment Rating tool (PART)*. Office of Management and Budget.
- U.S. Office of Management and Budgeting (OMB) (2004). *What Constitutes Strong Evidence of a Program's Effectiveness?*. Retrieved on March 9, 2009 http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part/2004_program_eval.pdf
- U.S. Government Auditing Office (GAO). (2005). *Program Evaluation: OMB's PART Reviews Increased Agencies' Attention to Improving Evidence of Program Results*. GAO.

(第3章)

- 稲継裕昭 (2001) 「英国ブレア政権下での新たな政策評価制度：包括的歳出レビュー (CSR) ・公共サービス合意 (PSAs)」 (『季刊行政管理研究』 (93))
- 君村昌編著 (2001) 『行政改革の影響分析』 ((財) 行政管理研究センター)
- 国土交通省国土交通政策研究所 (2002) 『New Public Management：歴史的展開と基礎理論』

- 児玉博昭 (2001) 「英国における政策評価システム：公共サービス合意及びサービス提供合意を中心として」(『白鷗法学』(18))
- 財政制度等審議会 (2007) 『財政制度分科会 海外調査報告書』
- 総務省行政評価局政策評価官室 (2001a) 『諸外国における政策評価の制度の概要 (政策評価関係資料 I)』
- (2001b) 『諸外国の政策評価の実際例 (政策評価関係資料 II)』
- (2002) 『諸外国における政策評価の制度と運営 (第4巻)』
- (2003) 『諸外国における政策評価の制度と運営 (第6巻)』
- 谷藤悦史 (2001) 「英国における公共サービス管理と政策評価制度の展開と特性」(総務省行政評価局政策評価官室 (2001a) 所収)
- 南島和久 (2007) 「府省における政策評価の中立性および客観性」(『法学志林』104 (4))
- (2009) 「信頼確保をめぐるアカウントビリティの課題：政策評価と内部統制をめぐる」(行政管理研究センター『行政の信頼性確保、向上方策に関する調査研究報告書』)
- 農林水産政策情報センター (2007) 『英国における包括的歳出見直し等の状況及び影響評価の制定等政策評価の現状』((財)農林水産奨励会)
- 東 信男 (2006) 「イギリスにおける公会計制度改革の検証：効果と課題」(『会計検査研究』(34))
- (2009) 「イギリス中央政府における国際会計基準 (IAS/IFRS) の導入：公会計の目的に対応させながら」(『会計検査研究』(39))
- 平松英哉 (2001) 「議院内閣制下における会計検査院による『政策評価』：英国会計検査院の動向に着目して」(『同志社法学』53 (2))
- (2002) 「イギリス中央政府におけるアカウントビリティと会計検査院：チャーマン報告の概要とその意義を中心として」(『同志社法学』54 (4))
- (2004) 「イギリス会計検査院による評価事例の研究：有効な外部評価の条件を求めて」(『日本評価研究』4 (2))
- 山谷清志 (1990) 「行政管理におけるサッチャーの『革命』：『エージェンシー』と業績評価」(『國學院大學紀要』(28))
- 和田明子 (2007) 『ニュージーランドの公的部門改革：New Public Management の検証』(第一法規)
- HM Government (2007) Service Transformation Agreement
- HM Treasury (1998) *Public Services for the Future: Modernisation, Reform, Accountability:*

- Comprehensive Spending Review: Public Service Agreements 1999-2002*, Cm4181
- (2001a) *Managing Resources: Full Implementation of Resource Accounting and Budgeting*
- (2001b) *Holding to Account: The Review of Audit and Accountability for Central Government*
- (2002a) *Audit and Accountability in Central Government: The Government's response to lord Sharman's report "Holding to Account"*, Cm5456
- (2002b) *Opportunity and Security for all: Investing in an enterprising, fairer Britain: New Public Spending Plans 2003-2006*, Cm5570
- (2003) *The Green Book: Appraisal and Evaluation in Central Government* (総務省行政評価局政策評価官室 (2003) に訳文所収)
- (2004) *Stability, security and opportunity for all: Investing for Britain's long-term future: 2004 Spending Review: New Public Spending Plans 2005-2008*, Cm6237
- (2006a) *Long-term challenges and opportunities for the UK: Analysis for the 2007 Comprehensive Spending Review*
- (2006b) *Releasing the resources to meet the challenges ahead: Value for money in the 2007 Comprehensive Spending Review*
- (2007a) *Audit Committee Handbook*
- (2007b) *Meeting the aspirations of the British People*, Cm7227
- , Cabinet Office, National Audit Office, Audit Commission, Office for National Statistics, *Choosing the Right: A Framework for Performance Information*. (総務省行政評価局政策評価官室 (2002) に訳文所収)
- Hood, C. (1991) "A Public Management for all Seasons?," *Public Administration*.(69),spring
- *et al.* (1999) *Regulation Inside Government: Waste-Watchers, Quality Police, and Sleaze-Busters*, Oxford
- National Audit Office (2005) *Public Service Agreement: Managing Data Quality- Compendium Report*
- (2006a) *Second Validation Compendium Report: 2003-06 PSA data system*

————— (2006b) *Third Validation Compendium Report : Volume 1&2*

————— (2007) *Third Validation Compendium Report : Volume 1&2*

————— (2008) *Annual Report 2008*

(第4章)

和田明子 (2007) 『ニュージーランドの公的部門改革—New Public Management の検証—』 第一法規。

Boston, J., *et al.*, (1996) *Public Management: The New Zealand Model*, Oxford University Press

Gill, D. (2008) “Managing for Performance in New Zealand: The Search for the “Holy Grail”?” in *Holy Grail or Achievable Quest?: International Perspectives on Public Sector Performance Management*, KPMG International.

Gregory, R. (1994) “Consolidating the Reforms: Can the Production Model be Counter-Productive?”, *Public Sector* 17(2)

Hood, C., (1990) “De-Sir Humphreyfying the Westminster Model of Bureaucracy: A New Style of Governance?”, *Governance*, Vol.3

Office of the Auditor-General, (2002) *Report of the Controller and Auditor-General: Reporting Public Sector Performance 2nd edition*

Office of the Auditor-General, (2008) *Annual Report 2007/08*

Office of the Auditor-General, (2008) *The Auditor-General's Observations on the Quality of Performance Reporting*

(<http://www.oag.govt.nz/2008/performance-reporting/>)

State Services Commission & Treasury, (2003) *Doing the Right Things and Doing Them Right: Improving Evaluative Activity in the New Zealand State Sector*

State Services Commission & Treasury, (2008) *Performance Measurement: Advice and Examples on How to Develop Effective Frameworks*

Statistics New Zealand, (2008) *New Zealand Official Yearbook 2008*

Steering Group Management for Outcomes, (2002) *Managing for Outcomes: Output Plans Guidance for Departments*

Treasury, *Budget Process Guide 2009*

<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/guidance/budget/process/>
Treasury, (2005) *Cost Benefit Analysis Primer*
<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/guidance/costbenefitanalysis/primer>
Treasury, (2008) *Guidelines on the Regulatory Impact Analysis Requirements*
<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/guidance/regulatory/impactanalysis>
Treasury, (2008) *Preparing the Annual Report: Guidance and Requirements for Departments*
Treasury & State Services Commission, (2009) *Statement of Intent: Process and Production Guidance for Departments*
Treasury & State Services Commission, (2008) *Statement of Intent: Technical Guide for Departments*
Treasury & State Services Commission, *Performance Information Measures and Standards in the SOI and Annual Report*
Turner, D. (2003) “New Zealand’s Core Public Sector Response to Evaluation: The Case of the Department of Labour”. in Lunt, N., Davidson, C. and McKegg, K. (eds) *Evaluating Policy and Practice: A New Zealand Reader*, Auckland, Pearson Education.
(ニュージーランド省庁等ホームページ)
New Zealand Institute of Economic Research (ニュージーランド経済調査研究所)
<http://www.nzier.org.nz>
Office of the Auditor-General (会計検査院) <http://www.oag.govt.nz>
State Services Commission (政府サービス委員会) <http://www.ssc.govt.nz>
Treasury (財務省) <http://www.treasury.govt.nz>
The Auditor-General’s Auditing Standards (会計検査院監査基準)
<http://www.oag.govt.nz/2008/auditing-standards/index.htm>
Development Goals for the State Services
<http://www.ssc.govt.nz/display/document.asp?DocID=6545>
Performance and Accountability
<http://www.ssc.govt.nz/display/document.asp?navid=339>

(第5章)

伊多波良雄・編著 (2009) 『公共政策のための政策評価手法』 中央経済社。

山谷清志 (1997) 『政策評価の理論とその展開－政府のアカウンタビリティー』 晃洋書房。

山谷清志 (2006) 『政策評価の実践とその課題－アカウンタビリティーのジレンマ』 萌書房。

Carl E. Hanssen, Frances Lawrenz, Diane O. Dunet, (2008) “Concurrent Meta-Evaluation:
A Critique,” *American Journal of Evaluation*, Vol. 29, No. 4.

Sandra Mathison ed., (2005) *Encyclopedia of Evaluation*, Sage.

Daniel L. Stufflebeam, (2001) “The Metaevaluation Imperative,” *American Journal of
Evaluation*, Vol. 22, No. 2.