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1 “Net totals of the revenues and expenditures” in this document are the ordinary net account totals of 3,020 organizations (47 prefectures, 1,718 municipalities, 23 special wards, 1,165 partial administrative associations and 114 wide-area local public bodies).
2 Figures for each item that are less than the given unit are rounded off. Therefore, they do not necessarily add up exactly to the total.
3 In FY2011, the revenues and expenditures of ordinary accounts were divided into the regular portion (Overall settlement figures less the Great East Japan Earthquake portion) and the Great East Japan Earthquake portion (Covering the revenues and expenditures related to recovery and reconstruction work and nationwide disaster prevention work).
Prefectures and municipalities (cities, towns, and villages) are the central actors in various areas of public services, including school education, public welfare and health, police and fire services, and public works such as roads and sewage systems, thereby fulfilling a major role in the lives of the citizens of the nation.

This document describes the status of local public finance (which comprises collectively the finances of individual local governments), the state of settlements for FY2020, and the initiatives of local governments towards sound public finances (mainly the status of the ratios for measuring their financial soundness), with particular attention given to ordinary accounts (Public enterprises, such as water supply, transportation, and hospitals are described in the section on Local Public Enterprises, etc.).

Classification of the Accounts of Local Governments Applied in the Settlement Account Statistics

The accounts of local governments are divided into the general accounts and the special accounts, but classification of these accounts varies between local governments. Therefore, the accounts are classified in a standardized manner into ordinary accounts, which cover the general administrative sector, and other accounts (public business accounts). This makes it possible to clarify the financial condition of local governments as a whole and to make a statistical comparison between local governments.

### Local Government Accounts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ordinary accounts</th>
<th>General administrative sector accounts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School education</td>
<td>Welfare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>Fire service</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other accounts (Public business accounts)</th>
<th>Public enterprise accounts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National health insurance accounts</td>
<td>Water supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latter-stage elderly medical care accounts</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing care insurance accounts</td>
<td>Electrical power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hospitals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sewerage systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residential land development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Etc.
How large is local public finance?

The ratio of expenditure by local governments in gross domestic product (nominal) is 11.9%, about 2.5 times that of the central government.

Gross Domestic Product (Expenditure, nominal) and Local Public Finance (FY2020)

Gross Domestic Product (expenditure, nominal) ¥535,509.9 billion (100%)

Local governments ¥391,339.2 billion (73.1%)

Corporate sector ¥25,466.5 billion (4.8%)

Social security funds ¥63,471.0 billion (11.9%)

Public corporations ¥48,167.6 billion (9.0%)

Net export of goods and services ¥7,484.3 billion (1.4%)

Central government ¥144,589.4 billion (27.0%)

Private sector ¥299,661.4 billion (56.0%)

Household sector ¥91,677.8 billion (17.1%)

△¥418.8 billion (0.1%)
The Role of Local Public Finance

How large is local government expenditure in total public expenditure?

Looking at the breakdown of public expenditure classified by final expenditure entity, local government expenditure accounts for 42.2% of Government final consumption expenditure, and 50.3% of Public gross capital formation. As a final expenditure entity, local governments above the central government and play a major role for the national economy.

Breakdown of public expenditures

Public corporations
$7,484.3 billion (5.2%)

Public gross capital formation
$7,484.3 billion (5.2%)

Local governments
$63,471.0 billion (43.9%)

Public gross capital formation
$15,542.0 billion (10.7%)

Government final consumption expenditure
$47,929.0 billion (33.1%)

Central government
$25,466.5 billion (17.6%)

Government final consumption expenditure
$117,672.1 billion (12.2%)

Public gross capital formation
$7,794.4 billion (5.4%)

Social security funds
$48,167.6 billion (33.3%)

Government final consumption expenditure
$48,104.8 billion (33.3%)

Public gross capital formation
$7,794.4 billion (5.4%)

Public expenditures
$144,589.4 billion (100.0%)

Trends in public expenditures

FY2010
Government final consumption expenditure $122,497.7 billion (24.3%)

Public gross capital formation $124,498.4 billion (24.8%)

Local governments $55,189.9 billion (45.0%)

Public corporations $12,132.4 billion (5.3%)

FY2011
Government final consumption expenditure $123,762.5 billion (24.3%)

Public gross capital formation $128,524.2 billion (24.8%)

Local governments $59,808.0 billion (45.0%)

Public corporations $13,083.3 billion (5.3%)

FY2012
Government final consumption expenditure $131,580.6 billion (24.9%)

Public gross capital formation $133,256.1 billion (25.1%)

Local governments $61,308.6 billion (44.5%)

Public corporations $14,132.2 billion (5.3%)

FY2013
Government final consumption expenditure $135,483.1 billion (25.1%)

Public gross capital formation $137,412.2 billion (25.1%)

Local governments $63,471.0 billion (44.5%)

Public corporations $14,449.3 billion (5.3%)

FY2014
Government final consumption expenditure $144,589.4 billion (27.0%)

Public gross capital formation $7,484.3 billion (27.0%)

Local governments $60,792.2 billion (43.9%)

Public corporations $14,449.3 billion (5.3%)

FY2015
Government final consumption expenditure $17,672.1 billion (12.2%)

Public gross capital formation $7,794.4 billion (5.4%)

Local governments $63,471.0 billion (43.9%)

Public corporations $14,449.3 billion (5.3%)

FY2016
Government final consumption expenditure $14,104.8 billion (33.3%)

Public gross capital formation $7,794.4 billion (5.4%)

Local governments $63,471.0 billion (43.9%)

Public corporations $14,449.3 billion (5.3%)

FY2017
Government final consumption expenditure $117,672.1 billion (12.2%)

Public gross capital formation $7,794.4 billion (5.4%)

Local governments $63,471.0 billion (43.9%)

Public corporations $14,449.3 billion (5.3%)

FY2018
Government final consumption expenditure $117,672.1 billion (12.2%)

Public gross capital formation $7,794.4 billion (5.4%)

Local governments $63,471.0 billion (43.9%)

Public corporations $14,449.3 billion (5.3%)

FY2019
Government final consumption expenditure $144,589.4 billion (27.0%)

Public gross capital formation $7,484.3 billion (27.0%)

Local governments $60,792.2 billion (43.9%)

Public corporations $14,449.3 billion (5.3%)

FY2020
Government final consumption expenditure $144,589.4 billion (27.0%)

Public gross capital formation $7,484.3 billion (27.0%)

Local governments $60,792.2 billion (43.9%)

Public corporations $14,449.3 billion (5.3%)
In which areas is the share of local expenditures high?

The below graph shows central and local governments’ expenditures by purpose as a share of net total expenditure, classified by final expenditure entity.

The share of local governments’ expenditures is higher in areas that are deeply related to daily life, such as public welfare, sanitation, and school education.
FY2020 Settlement Overview

1 Revenues

¥130,047.2 billion (up ¥26,801.4 billion, 26% year on year)
- Regular portion ¥128,188.3 billion (up ¥27,064.5 billion, 26.8% year on year)
- Great East Japan Earthquake portion ¥1,858.9 billion (down ¥263.2 billion, 12.4% year on year)

The increase of revenues in the regular portion resulted from an increase in National treasury disbursements, Loan principal and interests, etc.
The decrease in revenues in the Great East Japan Earthquake portion resulted from a decrease in National treasury disbursements, General revenue resources, etc.

2 Expenditures

¥125,458.8 billion (up 25,756.7 billion, 25.8% year on year)
- Regular portion ¥123,938.5 billion (up 26,041.6 billion, 26.6% year on year)
- Great East Japan Earthquake portion ¥1,520.3 billion (down ¥285.0 billion, 15.8% year on year)

The increase of expenditure in the regular portion resulted from an increase in Subsidizing Expenses, Loans, etc.
The decrease in expenditures in the Great East Japan Earthquake portion resulted from a decrease in Reserves, Ordinary construction work expenses, etc.

3 Revenue and Expenditure Settlement

The real balance showed a surplus of ¥2,727.4 billion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Account Settlement FY2020</th>
<th>Account Settlement FY2019</th>
<th>No. of local governments with a deficit FY2020</th>
<th>No. of local governments with a deficit FY2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Real balance</td>
<td>¥2,727.4 billion</td>
<td>¥2,159.5 billion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single year balance</td>
<td>¥568.0 billion</td>
<td>¥177.4 billion</td>
<td>1,021</td>
<td>1,373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real single year balance</td>
<td>¥248.5 billion</td>
<td>¥77.9 billion</td>
<td>1,164</td>
<td>1,609</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Real balance refers to the amount calculated by subtracting the revenue resources that should be carried over to the next fiscal year from the income expenditure balance. Single year balance refers to the amount calculated by subtracting the real balance of the previous fiscal year from the real balance of the relevant fiscal year. Real single year balance refers to the amount calculated by adding reserves and advanced redemption of local loans for the public finance adjustment fund to the single year balance and subtracting public finance adjustment fund reversals.
**4 Trend in Scale of Account Settlement**

Both revenues and expenditures of the regular portion have increased for eight consecutive years.

![Graph showing trend in scale of account settlement]

**5 Major Financial Indices**

Ordinary balance ratio rose 0.4 percentage points year on year, to 93.8%.
Real debt service ratio declined 0.2 percentage points year on year, to 7.8%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FY2020</th>
<th>FY2019</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ordinary balance ratio</td>
<td>93.8%</td>
<td>93.4%</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real debt service ratio</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>▲0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**6 Outstanding Borrowing Borne by Ordinary Accounts**

Outstanding borrowing, which includes Outstanding public enterprise bonds (borne by ordinary accounts) and Outstanding borrowing borne by the special accounts for Local allocation tax (borne by local governments), amounted to ¥192,326.3 billion (up ¥74.8 billion, 0.0% year on year).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FY2020</th>
<th>FY2019</th>
<th>Change amount</th>
<th>Change rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding local government bonds</td>
<td>¥144,569.7 billion</td>
<td>¥143,442.9 billion</td>
<td>¥1,126.8 billion</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding local government bonds (bonds for the extraordinary financial measures)</td>
<td>¥53,615.6 billion</td>
<td>¥53,966.2 billion</td>
<td>▲¥350.6 billion</td>
<td>▲0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding public enterprise bonds (borne by ordinary accounts)</td>
<td>¥16,794.3 billion</td>
<td>¥17,596.3 billion</td>
<td>▲¥802.0 billion</td>
<td>▲4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding borrowing borne by the special accounts for Local allocation tax (borne by local governments)</td>
<td>¥30,962.3 billion</td>
<td>¥31,212.3 billion</td>
<td>▲¥250.0 billion</td>
<td>▲0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>¥192,326.3 billion</td>
<td>¥192,251.5 billion</td>
<td>¥74.8 billion</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What are the revenue sources for local governments’ activities?

The revenue of local governments consists mainly of Local taxes, National treasury disbursements, Local allocation tax, and Local bonds, in order of share size. Among them, revenue resources which can be spent for any purpose, such as Local taxes and Local allocation tax, are called General revenue resources. It is important for local governments to ensure sufficient General revenue resources in order to handle various administrative needs properly. General revenue resources accounted for 46.3%.

Composition of Revenues (FY2020 settlement)

- **General revenue resources** ¥60,272.5 billion (46.3%)
- **Local taxes** ¥40,825.6 billion (31.4%)
- **Local transfer tax** ¥2,232.3 billion (1.7%)
- **Special local grants** ¥225.6 billion (0.2%)
- **Local allocation tax** ¥16,989.0 billion (13.1%)

**Other revenue resources** ¥20,058.3 billion (15.5%)

- **National treasury disbursements** ¥37,455.7 billion (28.8%)
- **Local bonds** ¥12,260.7 billion (9.4%)
  - Bonds for the extraordinary financial measures ¥3,111.6 billion (2.4%)

**Net total** ¥130,047.2 billion

- **Prefectures total** ¥61,894.1 billion
  - Other revenue resources ¥11,519.9 billion (18.6%)
    - Local bonds ¥6,706.3 billion (10.8%)
    - Local transfer tax ¥1,721.0 billion (2.8%)
  - National treasury disbursements ¥12,380.1 billion (20.0%)

- **Municipalities total** ¥78,034.1 billion
  - Other revenue resources ¥11,519.9 billion (18.6%)
    - Local bonds ¥5,577.3 billion (7.1%)
      - Bonds for the extraordinary financial measures ¥1,390.5 billion (1.8%)
  - National treasury disbursements ¥25,075.6 billion (32.1%)

**Prefectures**

- **Local taxes** ¥20,301.0 billion (26.0%)
- **Local transfer tax** ¥432.3 billion (0.6%)
- **Local allocation tax** ¥8,878.1 billion (14.3%)
- **Other general revenue resources** ¥3,338.7 billion (4.2%)

**Municipalities**

- **Local taxes** ¥20,524.6 billion (33.2%)
- **Local transfer tax** ¥1,800.0 billion (2.9%)
- **Local allocation tax** ¥8,110.9 billion (10.4%)
- **Other general revenue resources** ¥0.8 billion (0.1%)

Note: “National treasury disbursements” includes “special grants to measures for traffic safety” and “grants to cities, towns and villages where national institutions are located.”
Revenues in Regular Portion and Great East Japan Earthquake Portion

Net Total

- **Local bonds**: ¥12,227.3 billion (9.5%)
- **Other revenue resources**: ¥19,187.2 billion (15.0%)
- **General revenue resources**: ¥59,800.5 billion (46.7%)

National treasury disbursements

- **¥36,973.3 billion (28.8%)**
  - Of this amount, ordinary construction expenses were ¥2,132.4 billion (1.7%)
  - Of this amount, recovery and reconstruction expenses were ¥413.7 billion (0.3%)

Great East Japan Earthquake portion

- **¥1,858.9 billion**
  - Of this amount, ordinary construction expenses were ¥70.0 billion (3.8%)
  - Of this amount, recovery and reconstruction expenses were ¥141.7 billion (7.6%)
  - Of this amount, grants to measures for earthquake disaster reconstruction were ¥3.3 billion (0.2%)

- **Local bonds**: ¥33.4 billion (1.8%)

Prefectures

- **Other revenue resources**: ¥11,029.0 billion (18.1%)
- **Local bonds**: ¥6,687.4 billion (11.0%)
- **National treasury disbursements**: ¥12,027.5 billion (19.8%)
  - Of this amount, ordinary construction expenses were ¥1,365.3 billion (2.2%)
  - Of this amount, recovery and reconstruction expenses were ¥281.9 billion (0.5%)

Great East Japan Earthquake portion

- **¥1,125.0 billion**
  - Of this amount, ordinary construction expenses were ¥48.5 billion (4.3%)
  - Of this amount, recovery and reconstruction expenses were ¥102.9 billion (9.1%)
  - Of this amount, grants to measures for earthquake disaster reconstruction were ¥0.7 billion (0.1%)

- **Local bonds**: ¥18.9 billion (1.7%)

Municipalities

- **Other revenue resources**: ¥10,093.2 billion (13.1%)
- **Local bonds**: ¥5,539.8 billion (7.2%)
- **Prefectural disbursements**: ¥4,485.2 billion (5.8%)
- **National treasury disbursements**: ¥24,945.9 billion (32.3%)
  - Of this amount, ordinary construction expenses were ¥767.1 billion (1.0%)
  - Of this amount, recovery and reconstruction expenses were ¥131.8 billion (2.2%)

Great East Japan Earthquake portion

- **¥859.0 billion**
  - Of this amount, ordinary construction expenses were ¥21.4 billion (2.5%)
  - Of this amount, recovery and reconstruction expenses were ¥38.8 billion (4.5%)
  - Of this amount, grants to measures for earthquake disaster reconstruction were ¥2.6 billion (0.3%)

- **Prefectural disbursements**: ¥84.6 billion (9.8%)

Note: “National treasury disbursements” includes “grants to cities, towns and villages where national institutions are located” and excludes “special grants to measures for traffic safety.”
### 3 Revenue Trends

The ratio of general revenue resources had been on the rise since FY2014, but decreased in FY2020.

#### Net Total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>General revenue resources</th>
<th>Local taxes</th>
<th>Local transfer tax</th>
<th>Special local grants</th>
<th>Local allocation tax</th>
<th>National treasury disbursements</th>
<th>Local bond</th>
<th>Bonds for the extraordinary financial measures</th>
<th>Other revenue resources</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>¥2.1 trillion (2.1%)</td>
<td>¥0.4 trillion (0.4%)</td>
<td>¥3.3 trillion (33.4%)</td>
<td>¥17.2 trillion (17.2%)</td>
<td>¥7.1 trillion (7.1%)</td>
<td>¥13.6 trillion (13.6%)</td>
<td>¥61.1 trillion (61.1%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2016</td>
<td>¥2.3 trillion (2.3%)</td>
<td>¥0.1 trillion (0.1%)</td>
<td>¥3.7 trillion (3.7%)</td>
<td>¥17.2 trillion (17.2%)</td>
<td>¥16.3 trillion (16.3%)</td>
<td>¥62.8 trillion (62.8%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2017</td>
<td>¥2.4 trillion (2.4%)</td>
<td>¥0.1 trillion (0.1%)</td>
<td>¥4.0 trillion (4.0%)</td>
<td>¥16.8 trillion (16.8%)</td>
<td>¥15.9 trillion (15.9%)</td>
<td>¥63.2 trillion (63.2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2018</td>
<td>¥2.7 trillion (2.6%)</td>
<td>¥0.2 trillion (0.2%)</td>
<td>¥3.9 trillion (3.9%)</td>
<td>¥16.5 trillion (16.5%)</td>
<td>¥15.6 trillion (15.6%)</td>
<td>¥64.0 trillion (64.0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2019</td>
<td>¥2.6 trillion (2.5%)</td>
<td>¥0.5 trillion (0.5%)</td>
<td>¥3.2 trillion (3.2%)</td>
<td>¥16.7 trillion (16.7%)</td>
<td>¥15.6 trillion (15.6%)</td>
<td>¥64.3 trillion (64.3%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2020</td>
<td>¥2.2 trillion (1.7%)</td>
<td>¥0.2 trillion (0.2%)</td>
<td>¥3.1 trillion (3.1%)</td>
<td>¥17.0 trillion (17.0%)</td>
<td>¥15.5 trillion (15.5%)</td>
<td>¥63.4 trillion (63.4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: "National treasury disbursements" includes "special grants to measures for traffic safety" and "grants to cities, towns and villages where national institutions are located."
4 Ratio of National Taxes and Local Taxes

The total of taxes collected as national and local taxes amounted to ¥105,758.6 billion. Of this amount, national and local taxes accounted for 61.4% and 38.6% respectively.

5 Local Taxes

Local taxes consist of prefectural taxes and municipal taxes.

Composition of Revenue from Prefectural Taxes (FY2020 settlement)

Composition of Revenue from Municipal Taxes (FY2020 settlement)

Note: Municipal taxes collected by the Tokyo Metropolitan Government are included in municipal tax revenue figures, but not included in prefectural tax revenue figures.
Prefectural tax revenues increased 0.1% year on year.

Municipal tax revenues decreased 1.8% year on year.

Trends in Prefectural Tax Revenues

Trends in Municipal Tax Revenues

Note: Municipal tax revenue figures include municipal taxes collected by the Tokyo Metropolitan Government.
In order for local governments to provide public services in response to local needs on their own responsibility and at their own discretion, it is necessary to build a less imbalanced and stable local tax system.

Comparing local tax revenue amounts, with the national average set at 100, Tokyo, the highest, was approximately 2.2 times the amount for Nagasaki Prefecture, which was the lowest.

### Index of Per Capita Revenue in Local Tax Revenue (with national average as 100)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prefecture</th>
<th>Local Public Finance, 2022 –Illustrated–</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2020 settlement amount</td>
<td>¥40.0 trillion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max/Min</td>
<td>86.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max/Min</td>
<td>73.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max/Min</td>
<td>73.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max/Min</td>
<td>94.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max/Min</td>
<td>73.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max/Min</td>
<td>79.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max/Min</td>
<td>92.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max/Min</td>
<td>93.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max/Min</td>
<td>96.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max/Min</td>
<td>89.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max/Min</td>
<td>93.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max/Min</td>
<td>159.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. “Max/Min” indicates the value obtained by dividing the maximum value of per-capita tax revenue for each prefecture by the minimum value.
2. Local tax revenue amounts do not include the amount of special corporation business transfer tax, and exclude overassessment and discretionary taxes, etc.
3. Individual inhabitant tax revenue is the total of the prefectoral individual inhabitant tax (on a per-capita basis) and the municipal individual inhabitant tax (on a per-capita basis and on an income basis), and excludes overassessment.
4. Revenue from the two corporate taxes is the total of the corporate prefectural inhabitant tax, the corporate municipal inhabitant tax, and the corporate business tax (excluding special corporation business transfer tax) and excludes overassessment, etc.
5. Fixed asset tax revenues include prefectural amounts, and exclude overassessment.
6. Calculations were made in accordance with the basic resident register population as of January 1, 2021.
Local Allocation Tax

From the perspective of local autonomy, it would be ideal for each local government to ensure the revenue sources necessary for their activities through Local tax revenue collected from their residents. However, there are regional imbalances in tax sources, and many local governments are unable to acquire the necessary tax revenue. Accordingly, the central government collects revenue resources that would essentially be attributable to Local tax revenue and reallocates them as Local allocation tax to local governments that have weaker financial capabilities.

1. Determining the total amount of Local allocation tax

The total amount of the Local allocation tax is determined in accordance with estimates of standard revenue and expenditures in local public finance as a whole, based on a fixed percentage for national taxes (33.1% for Income tax and Corporate tax, 50% for Liquor tax, 19.5% for Consumption tax, and the total amount of Local corporate tax).

The total amount of the Local allocation tax in FY2020 was ¥16,989.0 billion, up 1.5% year on year.

2. How regular Local allocation taxes are calculated for each local government

The Regular local allocation tax for each local government is calculated by the following formula.

\[
\text{Regular allocation tax amount} = \text{Standard financial requirements} - \text{Standard financial revenues} \times \text{Correction coefficient} \\
\times \text{Calculation rate (75%)} + \text{Local transfer tax, etc.}
\]

Notes:
1. Standard financial requirements are figured out based on the rational and appropriate service standards for each local government. For this reason, the local share of the services, such as compulsory education, benefits for livelihood protection, and public works which are subject to national obligatory share, is mandatorily included. Beginning in FY2001, part of the Standard financial requirements is being transferred to special local bonds (bonds for the extraordinary financial measures) as an exception to Article 5 of the Local Finance Law.
2. Normal local tax revenue does not include Non-act-based tax or over-taxation that sets tax rates above the standard tax rate stipulated in the Local Tax Act.

3. Function of the Local allocation tax

The function of the Local allocation tax is to adjust imbalances in revenue resources between local governments and to ensure their financial capacity to provide standard public services and basic infrastructure to residents across the country. The adjustment of revenue resources through Local allocation tax makes the ratios of General revenue resources to the total revenues between local governments practically flat regardless of the size of population.

| Ratio of Total Revenue for Municipalities Composed of General Revenue Resources |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
|                                  | (%)             | (%)            | (%)          | (%)            |
|                                  | Midsize cities  | Small cities   | Towns and villages (10,000 people or more) | Towns and villages (Less than 10,000 people) |
| Total local tax                  | 28.7            | 21.0           | 19.3         | 11.0           |
| Local allocation tax             | 42.1            | 44.0           | 44.3         | 47.3           |
| Local transfer tax, etc.         | 0.2             | 0.2            | 0.2          | 0.1            |
| Special local grants             | 0.2             | 0.2            | 0.2          | 0.1            |
| Local allocation tax             | 33.2            | 33.2           | 33.2         | 33.2           |

Note: A “Midsize city” refers to a city with a population of 100,000 or more excluding Government-ordinance-designated cities, Core cities, and Special cities at the time of the effective date, and a “Small city” refers to a city with a population of less than 100,000.
What are expenses spent on?

1 Expenses Classified by Purpose

Classifying the expenses by purpose demonstrates that much of public money is appropriated for Public welfare expenses, General administrative expenses, and Education expenses. In prefectures, Education expenses, Public welfare expenses, and Commerce and industry expenses have the highest shares in that order. In municipalities, Public welfare expenses, General administrative expenses, and Educational expenses account for the largest amounts in that order.

Composition of Expenditure Classified by Purpose (FY2020 settlement)

- Public welfare: ¥28,694.2 billion (22.9%)
- Education: ¥18,096.1 billion (14.4%)
- Debt service: ¥12,063.6 billion (9.6%)
- Commerce and industry: ¥11,533.6 billion (9.2%)
- Agriculture, forestry and fishery: ¥3,410.6 billion (2.7%)
- Other: ¥7,315.7 billion (5.8%)
- General administration: ¥22,534.6 billion (18.0%)
- Sanitation: ¥9,120.2 billion (7.3%)
- Civil engineering work: ¥12,690.2 billion (10.1%)

Prefectures total: ¥59,706.3 billion

- Public welfare: ¥29,694.2 billion (49.7%)
- Education: ¥18,096.1 billion (30.3%)
- Debt service: ¥12,063.6 billion (20.0%)
- Commerce and industry: ¥11,533.6 billion (19.3%)
- Agriculture, forestry and fishery: ¥3,410.6 billion (5.7%)
- Other: ¥7,315.7 billion (12.2%)
- General administration: ¥22,534.6 billion (37.4%)
- Sanitation: ¥9,120.2 billion (15.3%)
- Civil engineering work: ¥12,690.2 billion (21.2%)

Municipalities total: ¥75,633.5 billion

- Public welfare: ¥22,485.6 billion (29.7%)
- Education: ¥18,096.1 billion (24.0%)
- Debt service: ¥12,063.6 billion (15.9%)
- Commerce and industry: ¥11,533.6 billion (15.2%)
- Agriculture, forestry and fishery: ¥3,410.6 billion (4.5%)
- Other: ¥7,315.7 billion (9.7%)
- General administration: ¥22,534.6 billion (29.7%)
- Sanitation: ¥9,120.2 billion (12.1%)
- Civil engineering work: ¥12,690.2 billion (16.8%)

General administration: Expenses for general administration, financial management, accounting administration, etc.
Public welfare: Expenses for the construction and operation of welfare facilities for children, the elderly, the mentally and physically disabled, etc., and for the implementation of public assistance, etc.
Education: Expenses for school education, social education, etc.
Civil engineering work: Expenses for the construction and maintenance of public facilities, such as roads, rivers, housing, and parks.
Debt service: Expenses for the payment of principal, interest, etc., on debts.
2. Expenditures in Regular Portion and Great East Japan Earthquake Portion (Expenses Classified by Purpose)

### Net total

- **Public welfare**: ¥28,633.8 billion (23.1%)
  - Of this amount, disaster relief expenses were ¥543 billion (0.0%)
- **Education**: ¥10,057.6 billion (14.6%)
- **Debt service**: ¥12,013.1 billion (9.7%)
- **Commerce and industry**: ¥11,329.0 billion (9.1%)
- **Sanitation**: ¥9,050.9 billion (7.3%)
- **Agriculture, forestry and fishery**: ¥3,259.4 billion (2.6%)
- **Disaster recovery**: ¥799.2 billion (0.6%)
- **Other**: ¥6,286.4 billion (5.2%)

### Great East Japan Earthquake portion

- **Public welfare**: ¥60.4 billion (4.0%)
  - Of this amount, disaster relief expenses were ¥49.9 billion (3.2%)
- **Education**: ¥38.5 billion (2.5%)
- **Debt service**: ¥50.6 billion (3.3%)
- **Commerce and industry**: ¥30.0 billion (19.5%)
- **Sanitation**: ¥46.5 billion (6.6%)
- **Agriculture, forestry and fishery**: ¥72.6 billion (10.4%)
- **Disaster recovery**: ¥799.2 billion (0.6%)
- **Other**: ¥33.2 billion (0.0%)

### Regular portion

- **Public welfare**: ¥28,633.8 billion (23.1%)
  - Of this amount, disaster relief expenses were ¥543 billion (0.0%)
- **Education**: ¥10,057.6 billion (14.6%)
- **Debt service**: ¥12,013.1 billion (9.7%)
- **Commerce and industry**: ¥11,329.0 billion (9.1%)
- **Sanitation**: ¥9,050.9 billion (7.3%)
- **Agriculture, forestry and fishery**: ¥3,259.4 billion (2.6%)
- **Disaster recovery**: ¥799.2 billion (0.6%)
- **Other**: ¥6,286.4 billion (5.2%)

### Prefectures

- **Public welfare**: ¥9,659.3 billion (16.4%)
  - Of this amount, disaster relief expenses were ¥384 billion (0.0%)
- **Education**: ¥10,177.2 billion (17.3%)
- **Debt service**: ¥6,603.6 billion (11.2%)
- **Commerce and industry**: ¥2,951.5 billion (5.0%)
- **Sanitation**: ¥8,329.8 billion (14.2%)
- **General administration**: ¥2,951.5 billion (5.0%)
- **Civil engineering work**: ¥5,953.5 billion (10.1%)

### Municipalities

- **Public welfare**: ¥22,438.3 billion (29.9%)
  - Of this amount, disaster relief expenses were ¥27.4 billion (0.0%)
- **Education**: ¥8,023.5 billion (10.7%)
- **Debt service**: ¥5,437.5 billion (7.3%)
- **Commerce and industry**: ¥1,098.4 billion (4.1%)
- **Sanitation**: ¥5,232.1 billion (7.0%)
- **General administration**: ¥20,086.9 billion (26.8%)
- **Civil engineering work**: ¥6,302.3 billion (8.5%)

### Great East Japan Earthquake portion

- **Public welfare**: ¥9,659.3 billion (16.4%)
  - Of this amount, disaster relief expenses were ¥384 billion (0.0%)
- **Education**: ¥10,177.2 billion (17.3%)
- **Debt service**: ¥6,603.6 billion (11.2%)
- **Commerce and industry**: ¥2,951.5 billion (5.0%)
- **Sanitation**: ¥8,329.8 billion (14.2%)
- **General administration**: ¥2,951.5 billion (5.0%)
- **Civil engineering work**: ¥5,953.5 billion (10.1%)

### Regular portion

- **Public welfare**: ¥9,659.3 billion (16.4%)
  - Of this amount, disaster relief expenses were ¥384 billion (0.0%)
- **Education**: ¥10,177.2 billion (17.3%)
- **Debt service**: ¥6,603.6 billion (11.2%)
- **Commerce and industry**: ¥2,951.5 billion (5.0%)
- **Sanitation**: ¥8,329.8 billion (14.2%)
- **General administration**: ¥2,951.5 billion (5.0%)
- **Civil engineering work**: ¥5,953.5 billion (10.1%)

### Great East Japan Earthquake portion

- **Public welfare**: ¥22,438.3 billion (29.9%)
  - Of this amount, disaster relief expenses were ¥27.4 billion (0.0%)
- **Education**: ¥8,023.5 billion (10.7%)
- **Debt service**: ¥5,437.5 billion (7.3%)
- **Commerce and industry**: ¥1,098.4 billion (4.1%)
- **Sanitation**: ¥5,232.1 billion (7.0%)
- **General administration**: ¥20,086.9 billion (26.8%)
- **Civil engineering work**: ¥6,302.3 billion (8.5%)

### Regular portion

- **Public welfare**: ¥22,438.3 billion (29.9%)
  - Of this amount, disaster relief expenses were ¥27.4 billion (0.0%)
- **Education**: ¥8,023.5 billion (10.7%)
- **Debt service**: ¥5,437.5 billion (7.3%)
- **Commerce and industry**: ¥1,098.4 billion (4.1%)
- **Sanitation**: ¥5,232.1 billion (7.0%)
- **General administration**: ¥20,086.9 billion (26.8%)
- **Civil engineering work**: ¥6,302.3 billion (8.5%)
### Trends in Expenditures Classified by Purpose

General administration expenses for FY2020 rose significantly. The recent trend shows increases in Public welfare and Education.

### Trends in Expenditures Classified by Purpose

- **General administration expenses for FY2020 rose significantly.**
- The recent trend shows increases in Public welfare and Education.

---

### Trends in Breakdown of Public Welfare Expenses by Purpose

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social welfare</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderly welfare</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child welfare</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public assistance</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaster relief</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Local Public Finance, 2022 – Illustrated –**
### Expenditures

#### Breakdown of Public Welfare Expenses by Purpose

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Prefectures</th>
<th>Municipalities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net total</td>
<td>¥28,694.2 billion</td>
<td>¥22,485.6 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥103.2 billion (0.4%)</td>
<td>¥67.2 billion (0.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥3,850.9 billion (13.4%)</td>
<td>¥6,966.4 billion (24.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥2,924.4 billion (10.2%)</td>
<td>¥9,092.6 billion (40.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥2,726.6 billion (9.5%)</td>
<td>¥3,592.4 billion (18.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥7,999.6 billion (27.8%)</td>
<td>¥67.2 billion (0.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Breakdown of Educational Expenses by Purpose

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Prefectures</th>
<th>Municipalities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net total</td>
<td>¥18,096.1 billion</td>
<td>¥8,046.1 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥1,524.6 billion (8.4%)</td>
<td>¥3,406.6 billion (18.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥2,482.3 billion (13.8%)</td>
<td>¥1,643.8 billion (9.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥1,298.6 billion (7.2%)</td>
<td>¥1,298.6 billion (7.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥2,217.2 billion (12.3%)</td>
<td>¥2,217.2 billion (12.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥2,312.4 billion (15.5%)</td>
<td>¥1,247.4 billion (15.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥5,148.9 billion (28.5%)</td>
<td>¥1,351.3 billion (16.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥5,148.9 billion (28.5%)</td>
<td>¥1,351.3 billion (16.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥2,379.5 billion (27.0%)</td>
<td>¥1,346.5 billion (16.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥2,768.5 billion (27.0%)</td>
<td>¥1,346.5 billion (16.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥5,406.6 billion (29.3%)</td>
<td>¥1,596.7 billion (15.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥4,625.9 billion (25.9%)</td>
<td>¥2,081.1 billion (20.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Breakdown of Civil Engineering Work Expenses by Purpose

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Prefectures</th>
<th>Municipalities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net total</td>
<td>¥12,690.2 billion</td>
<td>¥6,582.0 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥5,085.6 billion (41%)</td>
<td>¥3,266.2 billion (50.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥2,924.5 billion (22.4%)</td>
<td>¥5,618.1 billion (86.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥478.2 billion (3.8%)</td>
<td>¥1,298.6 billion (20.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥1,574.9 billion (12.3%)</td>
<td>¥1,541.6 billion (23.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥3,792.3 billion (29.8%)</td>
<td>¥1,596.7 billion (15.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥4,283.9 billion (33.5%)</td>
<td>¥2,081.1 billion (20.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥4,625.9 billion (38.5%)</td>
<td>¥2,081.1 billion (20.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥4,625.9 billion (38.5%)</td>
<td>¥2,081.1 billion (20.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¥1,574.9 billion (12.3%)</td>
<td>¥1,596.7 billion (15.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

White Paper on Local Public Finance, 2022
Expenses Classified by Type

Expenses are also classified, according to their economic nature, into “Mandatory expenses” (consisting of Personnel expenses, Social assistance expenses, and Debt service), the payment of which is mandatory and the amount of which is difficult to reduce at the discretion of individual local governments, “Investment expenses” including Ordinary construction work expenses, and “Other expenses,” (such as Goods expenses, Subsidizing expenses, Reserves, Transfers to other accounts).
Expenditures

5 Expenditures in Regular Portion and Great East Japan Earthquake Portion (Expenses Classified by Type)

Net total

- Other ¥15,472.6 billion (12.5%)
- Reserves ¥2,899.6 billion (2.3%)
- Subsidizing ¥28,617.9 billion (23.1%)
- Goods ¥10,584.6 billion (8.5%)
- Subsidizing ¥28,617.9 billion (23.1%)
- Goods ¥10,584.6 billion (8.5%)

Prefectures

- Other ¥7,868.2 billion (10.4%)
- Reserves ¥1,117.1 billion (1.9%)
- Subsidizing ¥19,318.7 billion (32.9%)
- Goods ¥2,056.9 billion (3.5%)
- Subsidizing ¥19,318.7 billion (32.9%)
- Goods ¥2,056.9 billion (3.5%)

Municipalities

- Other ¥7,629.1 billion (10.1%)
- Reserves ¥1,782.5 billion (2.4%)
- Subsidizing ¥18,281.5 billion (24.4%)
- Goods ¥8,527.7 billion (11.4%)
- Subsidizing ¥18,281.5 billion (24.4%)
- Goods ¥8,527.7 billion (11.4%)
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Trends in Expenditures Classified by Type

Subsidizing expenses for FY2020 rose significantly. The recent trend shows increases in Social assistance, Investment expenses, and Goods.

Trends in Expenditures Classified by Type

Trends in Breakdown of Social Assistance Expenses by Purpose

Local Public Finance, 2022 –Illustrated–
Personnel expenses in FY2020 increased by 2.5% year on year due to enforcement of Fiscal year temporary local public servants system.

**Trends in Personnel Expenses**

![Graph showing trends in personnel expenses](image)

**Breakdown of Personnel Expenses by Item**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Net total</th>
<th>Prefectures</th>
<th>Municipalities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(trillion yen)</td>
<td>¥23,028.3</td>
<td>¥12,473.8</td>
<td>¥10,554.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>¥19,999.2</td>
<td>¥10,671.9</td>
<td>¥9,240.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2011</td>
<td>¥23,486.6</td>
<td>¥12,256.0</td>
<td>¥10,308.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2012</td>
<td>¥23,034.0</td>
<td>¥11,780.3</td>
<td>¥10,193.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2013</td>
<td>¥23,025.2</td>
<td>¥11,104.2</td>
<td>¥10,161.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2014</td>
<td>¥19,245.2</td>
<td>¥9,013.5</td>
<td>¥9,522.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2015</td>
<td>¥17,901.4</td>
<td>¥7,577.3</td>
<td>¥8,237.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2016</td>
<td>¥15,981.2</td>
<td>¥5,346.6</td>
<td>¥7,892.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2017</td>
<td>¥17,585.1</td>
<td>¥5,479.8</td>
<td>¥7,683.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2018</td>
<td>¥18,541.4</td>
<td>¥5,551.9</td>
<td>¥7,341.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2019</td>
<td>¥19,312.0</td>
<td>¥6,140.2</td>
<td>¥7,329.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2020</td>
<td>¥21,823.8</td>
<td>¥6,764.7</td>
<td>¥7,482.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Employee salaries**: ¥10,517.8 billion (45.7%)
- **Base salaries**: ¥5,989.8 billion (25.3%)
- **Other allowances**: ¥1,760.8 billion (7.6%)
- **Retirement allowances**: ¥1,521.8 billion (6.4%)
- **Other**: ¥1,939.8 billion (8.5%)

**Local public servant, mutual-aid associations, etc.**

- **Employee salaries**: ¥1,939.8 billion (8.5%)
- **Base salaries**: ¥1,012.0 billion (4.5%)
- **Other allowances**: ¥1,760.8 billion (7.6%)
- **Retirement allowances**: ¥1,521.8 billion (6.4%)
- **Other**: ¥1,939.8 billion (8.5%)

**Other**

- **Employee salaries**: ¥1,939.8 billion (8.5%)
- **Base salaries**: ¥1,012.0 billion (4.5%)
- **Other allowances**: ¥1,760.8 billion (7.6%)
- **Retirement allowances**: ¥1,521.8 billion (6.4%)
- **Other**: ¥1,939.8 billion (8.5%)
Ordinary construction work expenses in FY2020 increased 2.9% year on year due to increased Subsidized public works, etc. In addition, Civil Engineering Work Expenses account for the largest ratio in the breakdown of Ordinary construction work expenses by purpose.

### Trends in Breakdown of Ordinary Construction Work Expenses Classified by Type

![Bar chart showing trends in breakdown of ordinary construction work expenses classified by type]

- **Subsidized public works**
- **Non-subsidized public works**
- **Obligatory share of public works directly carried out by the national government**

### Breakdown of Ordinary Construction Work Expenses by Purpose

- **Net total** ¥15,866.3 billion
  - Prefectures ¥8,194.3 billion
  - Municipalities ¥8,354.5 billion

![Detailed breakdown chart]

- **General administration**
- **Public welfare**
- **Sanitation**
- **Agriculture, forestry and fishery**
- **Civil engineering work**
- **Education**
- **Other**

Local Public Finance, 2022—Illustrated— 22
Flexibility of the Financial Structure

How financially capable are local governments to respond to local demands?

It is necessary that local governments have financial resources for not only the Mandatory expenses but also for the expenses for projects to properly address challenges caused by changes in the social economy and administrative needs so that they can adequately meet the needs of their residents. The extent to which the resources for such purposes are secured is called the “flexibility of the financial structure.”

1 Ordinary Balance Ratio

The FY2020 Ordinary balance ratio rose 0.4 points year on year to 93.8%, staying above 90% for 17 consecutive years.

Trends in the Ordinary Balance Ratio

Breakdown of Ordinary Balance Ratio (Total)
Flexibility of the Financial Structure

2. Real Debt Service Ratio and Debt Service Payment Ratio

Close attention should be paid to the trend of the Debt service, which is the expense required to repay the principal and interest of the debts of local governments and has an especially negative impact on financial flexibility.

The Real debt service ratio and the Debt service payment ratio are indices that measure the extent of the burden of the Debt service.

Trends in the Real Debt Service Ratio

Trends in the Debt Service Payment Ratio

*Real debt service ratio: The real debt service ratio is an index of the size of the redemption amount of debts (local bonds) and similar expenditure, and represents the cash-flow level.

*Debt service payment ratio: The Debt service payment ratio indicates the ratio of general revenue resources allocated for debt service (amount of repayment of the principal and interest on local bonds) in the total amount of General revenue resources. This index is used to measure the flexibility of the financial structure by assessing the degree to which Debt service restricts the freedom of use of General revenue resources.
What is the status of debt in local public finance?

1. Trends in Outstanding Local Government Bonds and Debt Burden

Real future financial burden resulting from Outstanding local government bonds and Debt burden amounted to ¥139,381.1 billion at the end of FY2020, increased 1.7% year on year. Out of this, Outstanding local government bonds amounted to ¥144,569.7 billion, an increase of 0.8% year on year, due to increased Loans for covering decreases in Local tax revenues.

2. Trends in Outstanding Borrowing Borne by the Ordinary Accounts

Outstanding local public finance borrowing, which includes borrowing in the special account for Local allocation tax and Transfer tax for addressing revenue resource shortages, as well as the redemption of Public enterprise bonds borne by the Ordinary accounts, remains at a high level, amounting to ¥192,326.3 billion at the end of FY2020.
Reserves on hand at the end of FY2020 was ¥22,598.1 billion, an increase of ¥4,731.4 billion from the end of FY2010.

Note: Reserves on hand do not include the amount of reserves for Sinking fund to be appropriated for principal and interest for local government bonds to be redeemed in full on maturity.
What is the status of local public enterprises, etc.?

1 Roles Played by Local Public Enterprises, etc.

Local public enterprises, etc. play a major role in improving the standard of living of residents.

- **Current water-supply population**
  - Out of 124.37 million
  - 123.84 million (99.6%)

- **Sewage disposal population**
  - Out of 116.37 million
  - 105.26 million (90.5%)

- **No. of passengers per year**
  - Out of 17,700 million
  - 1,807.0 million (10.2%)

- **No. of passengers per year**
  - Out of 3,300 million
  - 656.0 million (20.1%)

- **No. of hospital beds**
  - Out of 1,510,000
  - 204,000 (13.5%)

2 Number of Businesses Operated by Local Public Enterprises, etc.

8,165 businesses are operated by local public enterprises. By type of business, sewerage accounts for the largest ratio, followed, in order, by water supply, hospitals, care services, and residential development.

3 Scale of Financial Settlement

The scale of total financial settlement is ¥18,075.1 billion. By type of business, hospitals accounts for the largest ratio, followed, in order, by sewage business, water supply business, transportation, and residential development.

Notes:
1. Businesses conducted by law applicable enterprises (including local public enterprise type local incorporated administrative agencies) and law non-applicable enterprises are included in this graph.
2. The graph shows the ratio of local public enterprises, etc. when the total number of business entities nationwide is set at 100.
3. Figures for the total number of enterprises nationwide have been compiled from statistical materials of related organizations.
Local Public Enterprises, etc.

4 Financial Status

Local public enterprises had a surplus of ¥696.2 billion. By type of business, water supply, industrial-use water, electricity, gas, hospitals and sewage businesses showed a surplus.

Trends in the Financial Status of Local Public Enterprises, etc.

(billion yen)

FY2016 FY2017 FY2018 FY2019 FY2020

Total balance

(billion yen)
Response to COVID-19

Expenses Related to the Response to COVID-19

Net totals of the revenues and expenditures in the ordinary net account totals of local governments in fiscal year 2020 showed significant increase from the previous fiscal year, marked the highest in both revenues and expenditures, primarily due to the response to COVID-19. The net total expenditure of the response to COVID-19 amounted ¥25,633.6 billion, almost equivalent to the increased amount of ¥26,041.6 billion from the previous fiscal year in the regular portion in net totals of the expenditures.

Expenses: ¥25.6 trillion
(Major businesses)
- Special cash payment: ¥12.8 trillion
- Financing system loans: ¥4.8 trillion
- Compensation payment for co-operating with a voluntary government scheme: ¥1 trillion
- Special loaning for social welfare: ¥1 trillion
- Support to secure sickbeds: ¥0.8 trillion
- Special service bonus for medical staff: ¥0.6 trillion, etc.

(Breakdown)
National treasury disbursements: ¥20.1 trillion
- Financial assistance for special cash payment
- Special grant for regional revitalization
- Emergency comprehensive aid special grant, etc.
Local bond: ¥0.3 trillion
Other revenues (loan principal and interests, etc.): ¥4.5 trillion
General revenue resources: ¥0.7 trillion

<Expenditure breakdown by category> (billion yen, %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Net total expenditure</th>
<th>Prefecture</th>
<th>Local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory expense</td>
<td>513.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>454.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel expenses</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social assistance expenditure</td>
<td>454.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>417.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment expenses</td>
<td>270.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>150.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expenses</td>
<td>24,849.3</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>16,172.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goods</td>
<td>1,364.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>982.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidizing</td>
<td>18,463.6</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>13,846.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves</td>
<td>135.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans</td>
<td>4,770.7</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>671.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25,633.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<Revenue resources> (billion yen, %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Net total expenditure</th>
<th>Prefecture</th>
<th>Local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National treasury disbursements</td>
<td>20,060.6</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>14,748.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefectural disbursements</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>229.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>local bonds</td>
<td>311.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other revenue resources</td>
<td>4,545.1</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>769.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General revenue resources</td>
<td>716.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>399.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25,633.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local Tax Status

While revenue from local tax and local transfer tax decreased by ¥767.3 billion due to COVID-19, institutional measures were conducted, including adding tax items(*) for loan for covering decrease in local tax revenues. Outstanding local government bonds amounts ¥144,569.7 billion, an increase of ¥1,126.8 billion (0.8%) year on year, due to increased amount of loans for covering decrease in local tax revenues (¥801.7 billion increase year on year).

(*) Limited to FY2020, seven tax items were added, including local sales tax and gas oil delivery tax.
1. Specified Disaster-Struck Prefectures
The total revenues of the nine specified disaster-struck prefectures amounted to ¥11,448.6 billion, increasing by ¥1,857.9 billion year on year, or 19.4% (21.6% national increase). Total expenditures of the entities amounted to ¥10,939.9 billion, increasing by ¥1,765.9 billion year on year, or 19.2% (21.0% national increase).

* Specified disaster-struck prefectures: Prefectures stipulated in Article 2, Paragraph 2 of the Act on Special Public Finance Support and Assistance to Deal with the Great East Japan Earthquake (Act No. 40 of 2011). These prefectures are Aomori, Iwate, Miyagi, Fukushima, Ibaraki, Tochigi, Chiba, Niigata, and Nagano prefectures.

Revenues and Expenditures of Specified Disaster-Struck Prefectures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FY2020</th>
<th>FY2019</th>
<th>Change amount</th>
<th>Change rate</th>
<th>Change rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>11,448.6</td>
<td>9,590.7</td>
<td>1,857.9</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures</td>
<td>10,939.9</td>
<td>9,174.0</td>
<td>1,765.9</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Specified Disaster-Struck Municipalities
The total revenues of the 227 municipalities designated as specified disaster-struck municipalities amounted to ¥9,514.2 billion, increasing by ¥1,951.7 billion year on year, or 25.8% (27.1% national increase). Total expenditures of the entities amounted to ¥9,083.8 billion, increasing by ¥1,891.6 billion year on year, or 26.3% (27.3% national increase).

* Specified disaster-struck municipalities: Municipalities designated in Appended Table 1 and those designated in Appended Tables 2 and 3 that are other than specified disaster-struck local public bodies of the Japanese government ordinance (No. 127, 2011) concerning Article 2, Paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Act on Special Public Finance Support and Assistance to Deal with the Great East Japan Earthquake. (A total of 227 organizations in 11 prefectures, including, 33 organizations within Iwate Prefecture, 35 organizations within Miyagi prefecture, and 59 organizations within Fukushima prefecture.)

Revenues and Expenditures of Specified Disaster-Struck Municipalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FY2020</th>
<th>FY2019</th>
<th>Change amount</th>
<th>Change rate</th>
<th>Change rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>9,514.2</td>
<td>7,562.5</td>
<td>1,951.7</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures</td>
<td>9,083.8</td>
<td>7,192.2</td>
<td>1,891.6</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Financial Status of Businesses of Local Public Enterprises, etc. in Disaster-Struck Organizations

Total revenues and expenditures of local enterprises, etc. of disaster-struck organizations amounted to a surplus of ¥100.3 billion, a decrease of ¥2.4 billion year on year, or 2.3%. There were 779 businesses with surpluses, or 87.3% of all businesses, while 113 businesses had deficits, or 12.7%.

*Local enterprises of disaster-struck organizations: Nine prefectures stipulated in Article 2, Paragraph 2 of the Act on Special Public Finance Support and Assistance to Deal with the Great East Japan Earthquake, and 178 municipalities stipulated in Appended Table 1 of the Japanese government ordinance concerning Article 2, Paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Act on Special Public Finance Support and Assistance to Deal with the Great East Japan Earthquake (including some labor unions joined by the above bodies).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(billion yen)</th>
<th>Net amount ¥128.0 billion</th>
<th>Net amount ¥125.7 billion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2019</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2020</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surplus  Deficit  No. of businesses with surpluses  No. of businesses with deficits
Overview of the Act on Assurance of Sound Financial Status of Local Governments

A number of drawbacks were pointed out with the conventional system of financial reconstruction of local governments, including the lack of a legal obligation to disclose comprehensible financial information and of rules for early warning. In response, the Act on Assurance of Sound Financial Status of Local Governments was enacted and has been in force since April 2009. The act establishes new indexes and requires local governments to disclose them thoroughly, aiming to quickly achieve financial soundness or rebuild.

Outline of the Act on Assurance of Sound Financial Status of Local Governments

**Local governments that do not meet Early financial soundness restoring standard**

- Establishment of indexes and thorough disclosure
  - Flow indexes
    - Real deficit ratio
      - Ratio of real deficit in General account against the standard financial scale
    - Consolidated real deficit ratio
      - Ratio of real deficit and deficit of funds in all accounts including public enterprise accounts against the standard financial scale
  - Stock indexes
    - Future burden ratio
      - Ratio of real debt which will be paid from General account, including debts related to local public corporations and other corporations receiving indemnity against the amount based on the standard financial scale
  - Finance shortfall ratio
    - Ratio of deficit of funds per public enterprise account against the business scale

**Public enterprises that do not meet Management soundness standard**

**Early financial soundness restoration (Early financial soundness restoring organizations)**

- Restoring financial soundness through their own efforts
  - Development of financial soundness plan (approval by the council)
  - Report on progress of implementation to the council and public announcement every fiscal year
  - If the early achievement of financial soundness is deemed to be significantly difficult, the Minister for Internal Affairs and Communications or the prefectural governor makes necessary recommendations

- Development of management soundness plan (approval by the council)
  - Report on progress of implementation to the council and public announcement every fiscal year
  - If the early achievement of management soundness is deemed to be significantly difficult, the Minister for Internal Affairs and Communications or the prefectural governor makes necessary recommendations

**Financial rebuilding (Financial rebuilding organization)**

- Solid rebuilding through involvement of the central government, etc.
  - Development of financial soundness plan (approval by the council)
  - Agreement on the financial rebuilding plan can be sought through consultation with the Minister for Internal Affairs and Communications

  - Issuance of local bond is limited, except for recovery and reconstruction projects, etc.
  - Local bond whose maturity is within the period defined by the plan (rebuilding transfer special bond) can be issued as the shortage is transferred

  - If financial management is deemed not to conform with the plan, the Minister for Internal Affairs and Communications makes necessary recommendations, such as budget changes

**Sound finance**

- Real deficit ratio
  - Prefectures : 3.75%
  - Municipalities and special wards : 11.25% - 15%
- Consolidated real deficit ratio
  - Prefectures : 8.75%
  - Municipalities and special wards : 16.25% - 20%
- Real debt service ratio
  - 25%
- Future burden ratio
  - Prefectures, Government-ordinance-designated city : 400%
  - Municipalities and special wards : 350%
- Finance shortfall ratio
  - 20%
- Management soundness standard

**Financial rebuilding standard**

- Prefectures : 5%
  - Municipalities and special wards : 20%
- Refinancing standard
  - Prefectures : 15%
  - Municipalities and special wards : 30%

*The real deficit ratio and consolidated real deficit ratio standards for Tokyo are set separately from the ratios shown here.*

*The Ratio for measuring financial soundness and finance shortfall ratio are evaluated by the audit committee and reported to the council and publicly announced every fiscal year.*
 Targets of the Ratio for Measuring Financial Soundness

(Previous Reconstruction Law)  (Act on Assurance of Sound Financial Status of Local Governments)

Partial administrative associations, wide-area local public bodies, etc.

Local governments

- General account
- General account, etc.
- Special accounts
- Public enterprise accounts
- Of this, public enterprise accounts

Real deficit ratio

Consolidated real deficit ratio

Real debt service ratio

Future burden ratio

Local public corporations, third-sector enterprises, etc.

*Calculated for each public enterprise account

Status of the Ratios for Measuring Financial Soundness and Financial Shortfall Ratio

The following graph shows the trend in the number of local governments with a real deficit.

Based on FY2020 account settlements, one local municipal government has a real deficit (the real deficit ratio is greater than 0%). This local municipal government does not meet Early financial soundness restoring standard.

Real Deficit Ratio

\[
\text{Real deficit ratio} = \frac{\text{Real deficit amount of real account, etc.}}{\text{Standard financial scale}}
\]

The Real deficit ratio is an index of the deficit level of the general account, etc. of local governments offering welfare, education, community-building, and other services, and represents the extent to which financial administration has worsened.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Local governments with real deficit</th>
<th>Of this number, those equaling or exceeding the early financial soundness standard</th>
<th>Of this number, those equaling or exceeding the financial rebuilding standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2007</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2008</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2009</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2012</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2013</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2015</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2016</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2017</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2018</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2020</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consolidated Real Deficit Ratio

The following graph shows the trend in the number of local governments with a consolidated real deficit. Based on FY2020 account settlements, one local municipal government had a consolidated real deficit (the consolidated real deficit ratio is greater than 0%). This local municipal government does not meet Early financial soundness restoring standard.

Real Debt Service Ratio

The following graph shows the trend in the number of local governments with a Real debt service ratio equal to or exceeding 18%. Based on FY2020 account settlements, there was one local municipal government with a real debt service ratio equal to or exceeding Early financial rebuilding standard. This local municipal government equals to or exceeds the financial rebuilding standard.
Future Burden Ratio

The following graph shows the trend in the number of local governments with a Future burden ratio equal to or exceeding the Early financial soundness restoring standard.

Based on FY2020 account settlements, there was no local government with a Future burden ratio equal to or exceeding the Early financial soundness restoring standard.

Financial Shortfall Ratio

The following graph shows the trend in the number of local public enterprises with a financial shortfall.

Based on FY2020 account settlements, there were 49 local public enterprises with a financial shortfall (i.e., with a Financial shortfall ratio that exceeds 0%). Of these, 9 local public enterprises had a Financial shortfall ratio that equals or exceeds the Management soundness standard.